

1 Q. RE: p. B-68 Replace UHF Radio – Upper Salmon (\$556,000)

2

3 47.1 What other options are available with regard to replacing the obsolete  
4 UHF radio links? Which of these have been investigated? What cost  
5 comparisons resulted from these investigations?

6

7

8 A. 47.1 When considering the transport options for the replacement of the  
9 UHF radio systems at the Upper Salmon generating station, only two  
10 (2) technologies stand out as practical, fibre optic cable and low  
11 capacity radio. Therefore, the options available with regard to the  
12 replacement of the UHF radio systems are:

13

14

i) fibre optic cable

15

ii) low capacity spread spectrum digital radio; and

16

iii) combination of (i) and (ii)

17

18

The replacement of the UHF radio systems at Upper Salmon is very  
19 similar to the replacement of the UHF radio system completed at  
20 Hinds Lake in 1998. At that time the three (3) options noted above  
21 were investigated.

22

23

The analysis done as part of the Hinds Lake UHF radio replacement  
24 indicated that from a capital cost comparison, fibre cable, All Dielectric  
25 Self Supporting Fibre (ADSS) was \$50,000 more expensive than the  
26 low capacity radio alternative. However, it was decided to select the  
27 fibre alternative because:

28

- 1           i) the fibre alternative would have a longer life cycle than radio, 20  
2           years compared to 10 years;  
3           ii) the fibre alternative would require less maintenance because of  
4           less electronic equipment and its design provides for a self healing  
5           fibre ring thereby increasing the reliability of the overall  
6           communication system;  
7           iii) the fibre alternative provides for higher bandwidth capabilities  
8           between sites.